arXiv:1512.05531v2 [math.CO] 18 Dec 2015

A generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem

Gábor Hegedűs
Óbuda University

December 21, 2015

Abstract

Our main result is a new upper bound for the size of k-uniform, L-intersecting families of sets, where L contains only positive integers. We characterize extremal families in this setting. Our proof is based on the Ray-Chaudhuri–Wilson Theorem. As an application, we give a new proof for the Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, improve Fisher's inequality in the uniform case and give an uniform version of the Frankl-Füredi conjecture .

Keywords. **L-intersecting families, Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem, extremal set theory**

1 Introduction

First we introduce some notations.

Let $[n]$ stand for the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We denote the family of all subsets of $[n]$ by $2^{[n]}$.

For an integer $0 \leq k \leq n$ we denote by $\binom{[n]}{k}$ the family of all k element subsets of $[n]$.

We call a family $\mathcal{F}$ of subsets of $[n]$ *k-uniform*, if $|F| = k$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Bose proved the following result in [1].

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\lambda > 0$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$ such that $|F_i \cap F_j| = \lambda$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ i \neq j$. Then $m \leq n$.*

Majumdar generalized this result and proved the following nonuniform version of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\lambda > 0$ be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ such that $|F_i \cap F_j| = \lambda$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ i \neq j$. Then $m \leq n$.*

Frankl and Füredi conjectured in [7] and Ramanan proved in [10] the following statement.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$ such that $1 \leq |F_i \cap F_j| \leq s$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ i \neq j$. Then*

$$m \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{n-1}{i}.$$

Later Snevily conjectured the following statement in his doctoral dissertation (see [13]). Finally he proved this result in [12].

Theorem 1.4 *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$. Let $L = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s\}$ be a collection of s positive integer. If $|F_i \cap F_j| \in L$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ i \neq j$, then*

$$m \leq \sum_{i=0}^{s} \binom{n-1}{i}.$$

A family $\mathcal{F}$ is *t-intersecting*, if $|F \cap F'| \geq t$ whenever $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$. Specially, $\mathcal{F}$ is an *intersecting* family, if $F \cap F' \neq \emptyset$ whenever $F, F' \in \mathcal{F}$.

Erdős, Ko and Rado proved the following well-known result in [6]:

Theorem 1.5 *Let n, k, t be integers with $0 < t < k < n$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a t-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then for $n > n_0(k, t)$,*

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$

Further, $|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n-t}{k-t}$ if and only if for some $T \in \binom{[n]}{t}$ we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \{F \in \binom{[n]}{k} :\ T \subseteq F\}.$$

Let L be a set of nonnegative integers. A family $\mathcal{F}$ is *L-intersecting*, if $|E \cap F| \in L$ for every pair E, F of distinct members of $\mathcal{F}$. The following theorem gives a remarkable upper bound for the size of a k-uniform L-intersecting family.

Theorem 1.6 *(Ray-Chaudhuri–Wilson) Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let L be a set of s nonnegative integers and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n}{s}.$$

Erdős, Deza and Frankl improved Theorem 1.6 in [5]. They used the theory of Δ-systems in their proof.

Theorem 1.7 *Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let L be a set of s nonnegative integers and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then for $n > n_0(k, L)$*

$$m \leq \prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{n - \ell_i}{k - \ell_i}.$$

Barg and Musin gave an improved version of Theorem 1.6 in [2].

Theorem 1.8 *Let L be a set of s nonnegative integers and $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that*

$$\frac{s(k^2 - (s-1))(2k - n/2)}{n - 2(s-1)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \ell_i.$$

Then

$$m \leq \binom{n}{s} - \binom{n}{s-1} \frac{n - 2s + 3}{n - s + 2}.$$

First we prove a special case of our main result.

Proposition 1.9 *Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let $L = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s\}$ be a set of s positive integers such that $0 < \ell_1 < \ldots < \ell_s$. Suppose that $n \geq \binom{k^2}{\ell_1 + 1} s + \ell_1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n - \ell_1}{s}.$$

We state now our main results.

Theorem 1.10 *Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let $L = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s\}$ be a set of s positive integers such that $0 < \ell_1 < \ldots < \ell_s$. Suppose that $n \geq \binom{k^2}{\ell_1+1}s + \ell_1$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_m\}$ be an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.10 we combine simple combinatorial arguments with the Ray-Chaudhuri–Wilson Theorem 1.6. Our proof was inspired by the proof of Proposition 8.8 in [8].

In the following we characterize the extremal families appearing in Theorem 1.10.

Corollary 1.11 *Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let $L = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s\}$ be a set of s positive integers such that $0 < \ell_1 < \ldots < \ell_s$. Suppose that $n > \binom{k^2}{\ell_1+1}s + \ell_1$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_m\}$ be an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that*

$$|\mathcal{G}| = \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

Then there exists a $T \in \binom{[n]}{\ell_1}$ subset such that $T \subseteq G$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

We give here some immediate consequences of Theorem 1.10. First we describe an uniform version of Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 1.12 *Let $0 < s < k \geq n$ be positive integers. Let $L = \{1, 2, \ldots, s\}$. Suppose that $n > \binom{k^2}{2}s$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n-1}{s}.$$

Further if $n > \binom{k^2}{2}s + 1$ and

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n-1}{s},$$

then $\bigcap_{F\in\mathcal{F}} F \neq \emptyset$.

The following result is the uniform version of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.13 *Let $\lambda > 0$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $n \geq \binom{k^2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$ such that $|F_i \cap F_j| = \lambda$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m,\ i \neq j$. Then*

$$m \leq n - \lambda.$$

Further if $n > \binom{k^2}{\lambda+1} + \lambda$ and

$$|\mathcal{F}| = n - \lambda,$$

then there exists a $T \in \binom{[n]}{\lambda}$ subset such that $T \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

The following special case of Theorem 1.5 follows immediately from Theorem 1.10.

Corollary 1.14 *Let n, k, t be integers with $0 < t < k < n$. Suppose that $n \geq (k-t)\binom{k^2}{t+1} + t$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be a t-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$

Proof. Let $L := \{t, t+1, \ldots, k-1\}$ and apply Theorem 1.10. □

Similarly Corollary 1.11 implies the following result.

Corollary 1.15 *Let $0 < k \leq n$ be integers such that $n > (k-t)\binom{k^2}{t+1} + t$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a t-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that*

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n-t}{k-t}.$$

Then there exists a $T \in \binom{[n]}{t}$ subset such that $T \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

2 Proof

The following Lemma is a well-known Helly-type result (see e.g. [3]).

Lemma 2.1 *If each family of at most $k+1$ members of a k-uniform set system intersect, then all members intersect.*

□

In our proof we use the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2 *Let ℓ_1 be a positive integer. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that $\bigcap_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H = \emptyset$. Let $F \subseteq [n]$, $F \notin \mathcal{H}$ be a subset such that $|F \cap H| \geq \ell_1$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $Q := \bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H$. Then*

$$|Q \cap F| \geq \ell_1 + 1.$$

Proof. Since $|F \cap H| \geq \ell_1$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, thus $|Q \cap F| \geq \ell_1$. Indirectly, suppose that $|Q \cap F| = \ell_1$. Let $U := Q \cap F$. Then

$$U = Q \cap F = (\bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H) \cap F = \bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} (H \cap F).$$

Hence $H \cap F \subseteq U$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $|U| = \ell_1$ and $|H \cap F| \geq \ell_1$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$, thus $U = H \cap F$ for each $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence $U \subseteq \bigcap_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H$, which is a contradiction with $\bigcap_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H = \emptyset$. □

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a family of subsets of $[n]$. Suppose that $t := |\mathcal{H}| \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is a k-uniform, intersecting family. Then*

$$|\bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H| \leq k + (t-1)(k-1). \tag{1}$$

Proof. We use induction on t. The inequality (1) is trivially true for $t = 2$.

Let $t \geq 3$. Suppose that the inequality (1) is true for $t-1$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary k-uniform intersecting family such that $|\mathcal{H}| = t$. Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ be a fixed subset of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $|\mathcal{G}| = t-1$. Clearly $\mathcal{G}$ is intersecting and k-uniform. It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$|\bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G| \leq k + (t-2)(k-1).$$

Let $\{S\} = \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{G}$. Then

$$\bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H = (\bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G) \cup S,$$

thus

$$|\bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H| = |\bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G| + |S| - |(\bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G) \cap S| \leq k + (t-2)(k-1) + k - 1 = k + (t-1)(k-1).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 1.9:

Consider the special case when $\bigcap_{F\in\mathcal{F}} F = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1 there exists a $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ subset such that $\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G = \emptyset$ and $|\mathcal{G}| = k+1$. Let

$$M := \bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $|M| \leq k + k(k-1) = k^2$. On the other hand it is easy to see that $|M \cap F| \geq \ell_1 + 1$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 2.2.

Let T be a fixed subset of M such that $|T| = \ell_1 + 1$. Define

$$\mathcal{F}(T) := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : \ T \subseteq M \cap F\}.$$

Let $L' := \{\ell_2, \ldots, \ell_s\}$. Clearly $|L'| = s-1$. Then $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is an L'-intersecting, k-uniform family, because $\mathcal{F}$ is an L-intersecting family and $|M \cap F| \geq \ell_1 + 1$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 2.4

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{T\subseteq M,|T|=\ell_1+1} \mathcal{F}(T).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{T\subseteq M,|T|=\ell_1+1} \mathcal{F}(T)$. Clearly $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. We prove that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.
Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary subset. Firstly, if $F \in \mathcal{G}$, then $F \cap M = F$, because $M = \bigcup_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G$. Let T be a fixed subset of F such that $|T| = \ell_1 + 1$. Then $F \in \mathcal{F}(T)$. Secondly, suppose that $F \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then $|F \cap M| \geq \ell_1 + 1$ by Lemma 2.2. Let T be a fixed subset of $F \cap M$ such that $|T| = \ell_1 + 1$. Then $F \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ again. □

Let T be a fixed, but arbitrary subset of M such that $|T| = \ell_1 + 1$. Consider the set system

$$\mathcal{G}(T) := \{F \setminus T : \ F \in \mathcal{F}(T)\}.$$

Clearly $|\mathcal{G}(T)| = |\mathcal{F}(T)|$. Let $\overline{L} := \{\ell_2 - \ell_1 - 1, \ldots, \ell_s - \ell_1 - 1\}$. Here $|\overline{L}| = s - 1$. Since $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is an L'-intersecting, k-uniform family, thus $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is an $\overline{L}$-intersecting, $(k - \ell_1 - 1)$-uniform family and $G \subseteq [n] \setminus T$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}(T)$. Hence it follows from Theorem 1.6 that

$$|\mathcal{F}(T)| = |\mathcal{G}(T)| \leq \binom{n - \ell_1 - 1}{s - 1}.$$

Finally Proposition 2.4 implies that

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \sum_{T\subseteq M,|T|=\ell_1+1} |\mathcal{F}(T)| \leq \binom{k^2}{\ell_1 + 1}\binom{n - \ell_1 - 1}{s - 1},$$

but

$$\binom{n - \ell_1 - 1}{s - 1} = \frac{s}{n - \ell_1}\binom{n - \ell_1}{s},$$

hence

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{k^2}{\ell_1 + 1}\frac{s}{n - \ell_1}\binom{n - \ell_1}{s} \leq \binom{n - \ell_1}{s}$$

because $n \geq \binom{k^2}{\ell_1+1}s + \ell_1$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.10:

First we handle the case when $|\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G| \geq \ell_1$. Let T be a fixed subset of $\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G$ such that $|T| = \ell_1$. Consider the set system

$$\mathcal{K} := \{G \setminus T : \ G \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Obviously $|\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{K}|$. Let $L' := \{0, \ell_2 - \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s - \ell_1\}$. Then clearly $\mathcal{K}$ is a $(k-\ell_1)$-uniform L'-intersecting set system of subsets of $[n] \setminus T$. It follows immediately from Ray-Chaudhuri–Wilson Theorem 1.6 that

$$|\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{K}| \leq \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

Now suppose that $|\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G| = t$, where $0 < t < \ell_1$. Let T be a fixed subset of $\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G$ such that $|T| = t$. Then consider the set system

$$\mathcal{F} := \{G \setminus T : \ G \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Clearly $|\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{G}|$. Let $L' := \{\ell_1 - t, \ell_2 - t, \ldots, \ell_s - t\}$. Then clearly $\mathcal{F}$ is a $(k-t)$-uniform L'-intersecting set system of subsets of $[n] \setminus T$. It follows from Proposition 1.9 that

$$|\mathcal{G}| = |\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-t-(\ell_1-t)}{s} = \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

Finally suppose that $\bigcap_{G\in\mathcal{G}} G = \emptyset$. Then Proposition 1.9 gives us immediately that

$$|\mathcal{G}| \leq \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 1.11:
It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.10 that if $|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}$ and $n > \binom{k^2}{\ell_1+1}s + \ell_1$, then $|\bigcap_{F\in\mathcal{F}} F| \geq \ell_1$. Thus there exists a $T \in \binom{[n]}{\ell_1}$ such that $T \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. □

3 Remarks

Let $q \geq 2$ stand for a fixed prime power. Let $PG(2,q)$ denote the finite projective plane over the Galois field $GF(q)$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the set of all lines of $PG(2,q)$. Let $k := q+1$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ can be considered as a k-uniform family of subsets of the base set $[k^2-k+1]$. Clearly $|\mathcal{L}| = k$.

This example motivates our next conjecture.

Conjecture 1 *Let $0 < s \leq k \leq n$ be positive integers. Let $L = \{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_s\}$ be a set of s positive integers such that $0 < \ell_1 < \ldots < \ell_s$. Suppose that $n > k^2-k+1$. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_m\}$ be an L-intersecting, k-uniform family of subsets of $[n]$. Then*

$$m \leq \binom{n-\ell_1}{s}.$$

Further, if

$$|\mathcal{F}| = \binom{n-\ell_1}{s},$$

then there exists a $T \in \binom{[n]}{\ell_1}$ subset such that $T \subseteq F$ for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

References

[1] R. C. Bose, A note on Fisher's inequality for balanced incomplete block designs, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **20** (1949), 619-620.

[2] A. Barg and O. R. Musin, Bounds on sets with few distances. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, **118**(4), (2011) 1465-1474.

[3] Bollobás, B. On generalized graphs. Acta Mathematica Hungarica, **16(3)**, (1965) 447-452.

[4] L. Babai and P. Frankl, *Linear algebra methods in combinatorics,* September 1992.

[5] M. Deza, P. Erdős and P. Frankl, Intersection properties of systems of finite sets. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* **3** (2), (1978) 369-384.

[6] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets. *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, **12**(1), (1961) 313-320.

[7] P. Frankl and Z. Fredi, Families of finite sets with missing intersections. In *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai (Vol. 37)* (1981) 305-320

[8] S. Jukna, Extremal combinatorics: with applications in computer science. Springer Science and Business Media. (2011)

[9] K. N. Majumdar, On some theorems in combinatorics relating to incomplete block designs, *Ann. Math. Stat.* **24** (1953), 377-389.

[10] G. V. Ramanan, Proof of a conjecture of Frankl and Fredi. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, **79(1)** (1997) 53-67.

[11] H. S. Snevily, On generalizations of the deBruijn-Erdős theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **68** (1994), 232-238.

[12] H. S. Snevily, A sharp bound for the number of sets that pairwise intersect at k positive values. *Combinatorica* **23**(3), (2003) 527-533.

[13] H. S. Snevily, Combinatorics of finite sets, Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,1991.